

Comments on SG05 and SG06 potential developments extracted from Cubbington PC website

1	There is no need for this development there is enough land for housing without using the green belt
2	This will encourage urban sprawl and reduce the green belt between Cubbington and Leamington
3	This is unacceptable, Cubbington is already suffocated by the extensive and disruptive HS2 works and subsequent impact to wildlife and traffic. The village has already welcomed new housing. There should be other sites across the county that can meet these targets. Cubbington has contributed more than enough to national priorities with the onslaught of HS2 which appears to be neverending.
4	I'd like also to not be only residential, we need amenities, cafes, restaurants, pharmacies and else. Convenience shops are not enough and we would need at least one proper big supermarket, now you've got to go all the way south or to Warwick for grocery shopping which is not fair.
5	The mini roundabout by Compton's garage is in need of proper traffic lights already. Since the housing estate was added a few years ago, the amount of traffic up on Rugby Road is horrendous. Likewise coming from the other direction down Kenilworth Road to the junction. The road cannot cope with any more housing. Also we have, in OUR garden, the main sewer drain which runs through Broadway to the new housing on the Coventry Road / Rugby Road. I don't want any more problems with smell with the additional housing.
6	This is green belt land and should not be built on to protect the environment
7	Water supply pressure, sewerage etc. Severn Trent can't cope now with the existing homes. Roads are already congested and poorly maintained. School spaces, hospital spaces?
8	There are not enough schools or infrastructure for more houses! Barely anyone can get a place in NLS as it is, even though that is our only high school catchment.
9	If you have accessed need of homes and you don't need all these houses then there is no need to build on every green space
10	The loss of green space will be devastating for the many many walkers and dog walkers along with all the wildlife who use the fields. Also the increase in traffic will cause issues especially on an already busy road (rugby road).
11	Cubbington is not an abstract line on a planning map. It is a living village, with real roads, real homes, and real people who are already bearing an extraordinary burden. Before a single home is built on SG05, planners must honestly confront what this community is already enduring — and what this development would make permanent. THE ROADS CANNOT COPE — AND THAT IS NOT OPINION, IT IS DAILY REALITY The roads serving this area are narrow country lanes and suburban streets built for a fraction of today's traffic. Rugby Road — the primary route in and out — is already subject to prolonged disruption from HS2 construction works, with temporary traffic management causing queues stretching back nearly a mile. The existing road infrastructure was never designed to support a significant increase in traffic, and key junctions are already overloaded at peak times with poor safety records. Adding thousands of new residents would not strain this network — it would break it. THIS LAND FLOODS — AND IT WILL FLOOD AGAIN The impervious heavy clay subsoil causes the site to be prone to flooding, particularly along the north-western boundary, and currently causes flooding to the rear of properties in the surrounding area. This is not a theoretical risk. Residents have lived with it for years. Building hundreds of homes on flood-prone land does not solve a housing problem — it creates a crisis of a different kind, one measured in ruined homes, rising insurance costs, and families left stranded. HS2 IS MAKING EVERYTHING WORSE — RIGHT NOW The community is already living through the consequences of a major infrastructure project. HS2 construction traffic has been routed through Cubbington via Rugby Road and Kenilworth Road, passing many homes in the village. Drainage ponds have been excavated near the River Leam. The disruption to roads, environment, and daily life is ongoing and severe. To approve a major housing development on top of this — while HS2 works continue — would be to pile crisis upon crisis on a community that has already been asked to sacrifice too much. ANCIENT WOODLAND HAS ALREADY BEEN LOST HERE — WE CANNOT AFFORD TO LOSE MORE HS2's cutting passes through the southern part of South Cubbington Wood, which is defined as ancient woodland. The beloved Cubbington pear tree — a champion tree of national significance — was felled. Further development in this area risks compounding irreversible damage to irreplaceable natural habitat that took centuries to establish. THERE IS A REAL AND PROVEN UXO RISK BENEATH THIS LAND In May 2023, a World War II bomb was found in a field in Cubbington near Leamington Spa, where targeted wartime bombing raids are known to have occurred. Any large-scale groundworks on SG05 would require a full UXO assessment — and the precedent of recent finds in the area means the risk cannot be dismissed. The cost, delay, and potential danger this represents to construction workers and future residents must be fully accounted for in any viability assessment. THIS DEVELOPMENT WOULD ERASE THE IDENTITY OF CUBBINGTON AS A DISTINCT COMMUNITY The green space between Lillington and Cubbington exists to safeguard the countryside from encroachment and to maintain the two distinct communities. Once that buffer is gone, it is gone permanently. Cubbington will cease to be a village and become a suburb — with none of the infrastructure, services, or connectivity to justify it. WE ARE NOT AGAINST HOUSING. WE ARE AGAINST THE WRONG HOUSING IN THE WRONG PLACE. SG05 fails on transport, fails on flooding, fails on environmental grounds, and fails the community that has already given so much. We ask that planners look elsewhere — and that they treat this objection not as nimbyism, but as the clear, evidence-based case it is.
12	Offchurch Road gets flooded frequently. With additional housing on site SG05 this could create a big bottle neck of congestion. The catchment for schools is already getting tighter every year, adding more houses will only make this worse. The fields are also used frequently for walking and running, providing a green space for the community.
13	If houses are built joining Cubbington to Lillington, then Cubbington would lose its identity as a village and services would be greatly impacted.

14	With two traffic routes through Cubbington converging, traffic is already extremely busy at peak times and will only increase. This is right outside a school and already causes problems. Further housing will lead to the villages loss of identity and could lead to an increase in crime.
15	THE SCALE OF SG05 IS WHOLLY DISPROPORTIONATE FOR A SMALL VILLAGE Cubbington is a small, distinct village community. Its own Neighbourhood Development Plan — prepared by the Parish Council with the involvement of local residents — concluded that 119 dwellings represented a reasonable and justified level of housing growth for Cubbington. SG05 proposes 2,500 homes. That is not an extension of a village. It is the effective destruction of one. The infrastructure, services, roads and community facilities of Cubbington simply cannot absorb a development of the scale proposed by SG05. SG05 MEANS MORE CONCRETE AND MORE FLOODING — THIS IS NOT OPINION, IT IS SCIENCE Every hectare of agricultural land that is replaced by roads, rooftops and hard surfacing loses its ability to absorb rainfall. The fields within SG05 currently act as natural flood storage — slowing and absorbing water before it reaches homes and the River Leam. Replacing this land with 2,500 homes worth of impermeable surfaces will dramatically increase surface water runoff directly into an area already identified as flood prone. The residents whose homes already flood will flood more often, more severely, and with less warning. No amount of engineered drainage can fully replace what nature already provides for free on the SG05 land today. A GOVERNMENT PLANNING INSPECTOR HAS ALREADY RULED AGAINST DEVELOPMENT IN THIS AREA This is perhaps the most significant point of all. The Planning Inspector's 2017 response to the existing Warwick District Local Plan explicitly stated the need to maintain the separate identity of Cubbington and ruled that development in this area would involve a substantial expansion into open countryside with significant adverse impact on the character and appearance of the area. Those conclusions were reached before HS2 devastated the local environment further. They apply with even greater force to SG05 today. SG05 WOULD DIRECTLY THREATEN LISTED HERITAGE ASSETS Cubbington contains a remarkable concentration of listed heritage assets that would be placed at serious risk by SG05. These include the Grade I listed Church of St Mary, along with multiple Grade II listed buildings including The Old Manor House, Manor Cottage, The Vicarage on Rugby Road, Ford Farmhouse, Ham Farmhouse, Offchurch Bridge, and the Cubbington War Memorial. A development of 2,500 homes immediately surrounding these assets would cause irreversible harm to their setting, character and the historic environment that gives Cubbington its identity. THE COUNCIL'S OWN PREVIOUS EXAMINER DELETED A FAR SMALLER SITE ON THESE SAME GROUNDS The Government's Examiner previously required that site H50 — a far smaller proposed development on land east of Cubbington — be deleted from the Warwick District Local Plan, concluding that the adverse impacts of development would outweigh the benefits and that exceptional circumstances did not exist. If a smaller site was rejected on these grounds, the case against SG05 — a development of 2,500 homes — is overwhelming by comparison. SG05 MUST BE REMOVED FROM THE SOUTH WARWICKSHIRE LOCAL PLAN. THE COUNCIL IS URGED TO APPLY ITS OWN PREVIOUS STANDARDS CONSISTENTLY AND REJECT SG05 IN ITS ENTIRETY.
16	The children from Cubbington already struggle to get into the secondary school closest to us and increasing the number of houses would make that even more difficult. Also as someone with a family member who is unwell the hospital services can not cope with the increase of people in the area.
17	This area has been damaged enough already by HS2. The mini roundabout by the garage cannot cope with the current level of traffic, let alone more. Warwick hospital is groaning under the pressure. Will the housing developer improve roads, build more green spaces, build a new hospital, build more leisure facilities e.g swimming pools? I think not. Leamington has been ruined in the last 10 years. Such a shame.
18	Impact on utilities & sewers already under strain. & Cubbington will lose its village identity.
19	Ridiculous proposal, the area and Leamington do not need more houses
20	The amount of water run off from the fields from Offchurch Road can be immense. Removing that soak away and replacing with concrete /homes will make everything so much worse. The fields around thwaites provides a great area for the local community to walk/ exercise around. This was especially appreciated during covid when we couldn't use the car to travel to outdoor spaces. Keeping these spaces is vital for community wellbeing
21	We have already lost valuable woodland due to the pointless HS2 and now will lose further green space
22	This would be a disaster for Cubbington. We would be in danger of losing our community and becoming an extension of Lillington. The impact on green space would be immense particularly taking into account the destruction created by HS2 and the loss of much of Cubbington woods. As a walker I would be devastated by the further loss of footpaths through our beautiful countryside.
23	The village is already struggling with drainage and water coming off those fields whenever it rains. Building on that land will only add to the problem. The traffic increase and lack of amenities - particularly medical and public transport - is also a concern.
24	We have already lost so much green space with the HS2 decimating Cubbington Woods that to expand further on to green space that is utilised by so many residents would be a disaster
25	This may come under local services, but it's a lot more people battling across town for food shopping, since we have no substantial supermarkets in north Leamington
26	Loss of village identity.
27	Daughter just bought house backing on to the fields and told it was greenbelt with no plans to build
28	The proposal to build between Eden Court and Offchurch Road has already been put forward (about 2009?) and was thrown out on grounds of flood risk. There has been water across Offchurch Road in the past weeks, running off the fields. What would happen if the fields were not there to soak up heavy rainfall?
29	Flooding
30	Flooding. SEN support as it will be heavily effected by an influx of people

31	We already have issues getting our children into the local catchment secondary school (NLS) My daughter was denied a place last year due to it being oversubscribed and with more housing being built the children of Cubbington are going to suffer. You cannot build so many new homes and not either expand the school we have or build a new one.
32	Children in the village are already struggling to get into the local catchment school. More houses will make it even less likely.
33	School provision for Cubbington children, especially secondary school, with difficulties accessing North Leamington School affecting local children and families
34	Flooding risk as houses will occupy already saturated land which slows surface water.
35	High schools are already a nightmare in the local area pushing local village children out of their catchment school
36	Having so many built will completely change the environment! A few hundred we could cope with, but this number is overwhelming!!
37	New houses should not be built upon green belt land, destroying the countryside. We already have all of the disruption and devastation from HS2 surrounding Cubbington.
38	The current local services cant cope. The local hospital is very overwhelmed. We cant get our kids into local schools
39	It's impossible to get children from Weston under wetherley and Cubbington village into North Leamington school as it is. There will not be enough places for the children living in these new houses. Cubbington primary school is already under funded and full too.
40	Our two local primary schools are not fit for purpose. Our roads are already busy and too small to take more traffic. Where would people shop? We only have small shops, if people want to do a big shop then they have to drive all the way through town adding to the traffic.
41	No1 issue are the schools/dr provisions. Its already impossible to get into our local secondary.
42	There are not enough secondary school places for the current local catchment school NLS with the current housing stock (cubbington children do not get in). If these houses are built there will be no chance for cubbington children to get access to local schools
43	Insufficient land and facilities to support the required increase in infrastructure & services needed to support this massive increase in housing: no public transport, road network already saturated with limited routes in and out to this new housing area which would result in huge traffic bottlenecks. Insufficient local facilities such as shops medical and schools without traveling outside of the local area contrary to planning policies. Sewerage system would require upgrade by Severn Trent. SG05 was previously rejected due to the increased flood risk - this is now even higher due to climate change.
44	South Warwickshire has had significant loss of land due to new homes being built but we need to stop and protect these vital green spaces for nature and prevent firther damage to out environment.
45	Nowhere near enough schools and services to accommodate this number of houses. Villages further out are already now outside of the catchment areas for schools and Cubbington children are rejected
46	Leamington is over run with people and traffic, all trying to access the same small areas, because, whilst the population has grown, successful facilities have not. We already need a better variety of shops both in town and at The Shires, both a very sorry state of affairs, unless you're after coffee. Our beautiful green spaces must be preserved for the health and wellbeing of every age group. We need to protect our communities, not dilute them into the vast, claustrophobic and depressing eyesore housing estates Leamington already has its share of. Leamington deserves care and preservation, not more destruction.
47	We live in a village just outside cubbington and already our son who is in Y5 is very unlikely to get into our local secondary school (catchment school). More houses will have a significantly negative impact on these local services for us. There currently seems to be no plan to rectify this. The situation is incredibly unfair and makes village livng less appealing.
48	The road networks in the area can not cope with the current volume of traffic. There isn't enough parking in leamington or Warwick as it is. Trying to get to across either town during the day takes up to 40 minutes. These new developments will compound the issue. The actual living space in these houses are not big enough for families to comfortably live. Especially social housing. A small kitchen and a lounge dinner doesn't provide space for families to eat at a table, do homework and try and dry washing. Which result in poor mental health and no spare for children to grow up and learn.
49	I am very much against this proposal. Traffic from Windmill hill is already bad and at unsafe levels given the presence of a school. Increased numbers of houses will make this even more unsafe with too many cars for the roads. The roundabout between Windmill Hill and Kenilworth Road is already prone to congestion and I'm surprised there aren't more crashes, this issue would drastically worsen. The road conditions are also terrible with high numbers of pot holes and poorly filled pot holes in the Cubbington area, increasing traffic volumes will worsen these. In addition there is often lots of water runoff down Offchurch Road with drains unable to cope. If land is built on off offchurch road, there will be even more run off and pressure on the drains, this will worsen the excess water and flooding further down the road. There is also lots of wildlife on those fields including foxes and birds. The fields off offchurch road offer a place for people to walk and a nice walk into Newbold Common. Building on this land will lose this nice green space. This is something that would not be ideal given the loss of some of the walks due to HS2 in recent years. There are high pressures on GP surgeries and NHS dentist with difficulty getting appointments. Coventry and Warwick hospitals are beyond full. Schools are under huge levels of pressure. How will these cope with such huge numbers of increased housing.
50	It's already hard to get into local Secondary school North Leamington By adding more development will greatly impact local children getting in and having to travel further a field to another school, impacting environmental conditions ie transport and traffic to further afield schools as children won't be able to walk or cycle. Creating more traffic and safety concerns.
51	Local dog walking around the fields will go, we have had enough disturbance with the HS2, also the concern of connecting Lillington to Cubbington and the safety aspect of that.
52	Flooding, traffic congestion, local children already can't get into local schools, increased pressure on local doctors surgeries, where again it takes a month to get an appointment. Just not needed and not acceptable. When are the planners going to learn? The less green land and trees, the more flooding, not rocket science

53	Even more traffic the roads in the village are not up to supporting more the roadside parking (and parking on the pavements) is already difficult to manoeuvre through
54	Flood risk for the homes between thwaites and lillington Increased pressure on all infrastructure Concerns over housing contracts being given to large national developers who squeeze every square foot out of a development and copy and paste every house so the development turns into a soul-less nightmare of tiny houses and tiny gardens where you're overlooked by 20 neighbours if you step foot into your own outside space However it would be good for younger people looking to buy if the houses are reasonably sized and priced It would also benefit the local businesses in the village and may lead to enough demand for someone to take over the balti house. It would be great to see these businesses thrive
55	Lack of infrastructure loss of beautiful green spaces. It will change the whole outlook and feel of the area.
56	Cubbington would no longer be a village. We do not have the suitable facilities in the area to accommodate more homes - shops, gp surgery's, schools, nursery's or road infrastructure.
57	Children in the village not getting into the local secondary school and losing the local walks. We've already lost out on beautiful countryside walks due to HS2. We bought in cubbington as we love being in and part of a village, countryside and schools. Those plans will mean cubbington is no longer a village, children missing out on local school space and our beautiful countryside walks. I grew up in cubbington and have always loved here. It's heartbreaking to see these proposed changes!
58	Additional housing will create massive additional bottlenecks onto the already saturated local routes into Coventry, Leamington Spa and exit to the M40 and Fosse way networks from these locations, and will create many more car journeys due to the lack of facilities, public transport and cycle routes - this has already been seen with the additional 250 houses already added to Cubbington (which were supposed to meet all local housing needs well beyond the next local plan) The sewerage system is already at full capacity as is the electricity grid. Lack of natural and Severn Trent rain water drainage - SG05 is a designated flood risk area and development will create further flooding risks to Cubbington. There is insufficient local housing demand to create the need to build on the green belt. Lastly, these sites do not meet many of the planning policies outlined in the existing or new draft local plan.
59	Too close to Coventry, losing separation of towns,
60	2500 is too many. I would support a smaller development. Be gone with the cut and paste generic new build estates and create something that compliments Leamington and the surrounding area. Move past box gardens and cramming as many in as possible for the greatest profit. I think people would support a more bespoke and tailored site. Not just another poorly built, poorly thought out, and poor quality new build estate.
61	For goodness sake, why can't people see that Leamington and Warwick is being crippled by new housing. We simply do NOT have the infrastructure to provide a pleasant living space anymore, the towns are going to the dogs. Just stop spreading out and build a new town with proper, planned infrastructure with supporting amenities.
62	Having only recently moved to the area , I would have not moved here if I had of known about the planning for new developments in the area. I moved away from a busy town to enjoy the green area and walks. I'm concerned about how this will impact the already over stressed town of Leamington and surrounding areas especially the schools and doctors and the NHS. Also the amount of traffic congestion and the cost to the environment this will have.
63	Basically joining old Cubbington to Lillington will have a huge impact on local needs. We were nearly out of reach of the 'catchment' secondary school- North Leamington and only got in after moving up 48 places on the waiting list. The lack of green space will be harmful to all the local flora and fauna- especially after the devastation caused by HS2 on Cubbington Woods. Extra pressure for healthcare, primary schools and more pressure on windmill hill, Cubbington/ Rugby Road. This will devastate the area and devalue houses in Cubbington.
64	Schooling- children in cubbington village are already not able to access the local secondary school as it is! Cubbington no longer being a village.
65	Cause for safety over social housing being closer. Additional flooding issues. Over population on schools and amenities. Lose the village status as it will be joined to Lillington.
66	There were new home build in the village already plus now the HS2 this taking over ; this place no longer feels like a village . Can we please try to preserve this place? There are other places you can build.
67	Fully aware of the new for the SWLP to provide affordable housing, however no serious thought or communications refers to the thought to provide further main roads to Leamington and Coventry, for larger supermarkets and local amenities to anyone north of leamington, public transport infrastructure (see Cubbington stagecoach complaints), hospital waiting times and capacity. These should be communicated as being built prior to new housing estates, and the disruption already caused by HS2 works will inevitably make living here and selling houses here a lot more difficult.
68	Loss of village identity
69	Lack of amenity provision in this side of town - increased traffic trying to access amenities on the south side of Leamington. Traffic is already horrendous.
70	Green belt policy is meant to prevent villages linking to towns. This will visually push cubbington towards offchurch. Offchurch is a single carriageway over the bridge (which regularly floods!) and will be a bottleneck of extra vehicles cutting through from the new development to the fosse as a major route. Drs surgery is already very difficult to get seen. Schools are oversubscribed.
71	To many houses being built without the consensus of those living in the area
72	Cubbington will no longer be a village , it will just merge with Lillington
73	- Flood risk - cumulative impact of all 3 development sites on the village.

74	Loss of local Cubbington community through housing sprawl. The area proposed for development is an essential village boundary and provides very much needed green space to support the community, especially in light of the blight to the north of the village already caused by HS2. The development would essentially blend Cubbington with Lillington and Leamington resulting in the destruction of the Cubbington community boundary. Increased traffic along the Welsh Road would badly affect neighbouring villages (eg Offchurch bridge is entirely ill suited to increased volume of traffic). Welsh Road through Cubbington is also susceptible to flooding.
75	There is a serious risk of flooding in the village and serious traffic problems on roads not fit for heavy use
76	My primary concern relates to increased traffic on the Welsh Road which would be dangerously unsustainable. Significant restrictions on traffic from Cubbington towards Offchurch would be required including weight restrictions and a traffic control for the Leam crossing and a 20 mph limit from that bridge to the Fosse way roundabout.
77	The village doesn't have the infrastructure to cope with more housing. It's a village and we want to keep it that way. Traffic is already a problem at Comptons garage roundabout with the addition of a housing estate off Rugby Road. Noise is always an issue once there are additional houses and the reason people moved to a village was to escape that.
78	horses in yard right next to proposed area, lots of dog walkers use that area and families to get outdoors with no cost
79	Taking away the village , no infrastructure to cope , too much traffic people coming to the village without the village heritage
80	If approved residents from this development when heading south towards the M40 would be directed using sat nav to Gaydon via Offchurch. Offchurch cannot take any additional through traffic due to narrow roads and flooding issues and a by pass of the village should be made a condition of any approval.
81	My rent and council tax is already extortionate. I moved into this house because it's quiet. I'll have to move and I don't want to be moving my disabled wife. It's totally unfair all because you want money.
82	Linking Cubbington to Lillington in this manner would destroy a main reason for living in the village and as we are in a separate government voting area (not Leamington but Southam & Kenilworth) we would have no links.
83	The traffic that would be generated from the new housing would add significantly to the volume of vehicles travelling along Welsh Road through Offchurch. Welsh Road through the village is narrow and passes over a single carriage stone bridge and is entirely unsuitable for the amount of traffic that already travels through the village. This development would make the situation even worse.
84	Risk of flooding due to water run off.
85	If approved, residents heading south towards the M40 would be directed by sat nav to Gaydon via Offchurch. Offchurch village cannot cope with additional traffic without a by-pass to take traffic out of the village avoiding the River Leam flood issues and narrow sections of road. The Cubbington development should contribute to a deliverable Offchurch by-pass as a condition of any approval.
86	Developments SG05 and SG06 will likely result in significant additional traffic on the Welsh Road through Offchurch towards the Fosse Way (it's the only sensible route from Cubbington to the E/SE). The traffic situation on the Welsh Rd through Offchurch is already a big problem for residents, with heavy peak-time traffic, speeding, noise, pollution, and pedestrian risk due to limited pavements and significant narrowing of the road as it leaves Offchurch towards Long Itchington Road. These new proposed developments in Cubbington will likely make life intolerable for anyone living on the Welsh Rd in Offchurch. If the developments are to go ahead, a bypass of Offchurch should be built into the plans.
87	Offchurch resident, the traffic on Long Itchington Road / Welsh Road junction is too busy at peak times, with unreasonable risk to pedestrians. Further development would exacerbated these issues without some sort of remedial action or bypass
88	If the development proceeds then major improvements to the existing infrastructure would need to occur
89	Covers farmland and green belt - alternative brown field sites available
90	This development is planned on Green belt land, destroying wildlife habitats and farming land. Increased traffic will saturate local roads, which will negatively impact air and noise pollution. It will significantly increase traffic on Welsh Road into Offchurch. Welsh Road is used as a route between Cubbington and the Fosse Way. Cars already exceed the speed limit through Offchurch causing hazards for local residents, pedestrians and cyclists.
91	I work for a builder and see first hand the issues sites like this in these areas cause. Too much social housing - I know it's needed but more should be shared ownership not rentals Will bring current homes in the area down possibly and the history and charm of Cubbington at present could be jeopardised and I'm part of the younger generation. Would also depend what builders as some don't put the effort in planning and aesthetics and areas to suit the local community like others do
92	My concern is that they'll be occupied by migrants at the cost of taxpayers
93	Development in the Greenbelt is generally prohibited except for certain reasons. 'Limited infilling' is a possibility but crucially this permits generally small developments within existing built-up areas (infilling). It is hard to see how 1200 homes, a primary school, roads and other associated infrastructure would be considered 'small'. This development will make the area 'built up' it isn't now. Then there is Very Special Circumstances being developments that may be allowed if the potential harm is outweighed by "very special circumstances". I doubt the building of additional residential dwelling satisfies this definition, the building of homes seems quite rudimentary. I would like to see the Environmental Impact Assessment and Economic Analysis which shows the developments benefits over its harm. There are areas not in the Greenbelt within Warwickshire where land can be acquired and which will have less impact on Greenbelt openness, visual impact and the lives of local residents who have already had to contend with major disruptions through HS2 works.

94	We are already suffering the detrimental environmental impact and destruction wrought by HS2 around Cubbington green belt. We have lost so much to HS2 - the landscape is forever altered due to loss of trees. Wildlife suffers. We used to have visiting lapwings in the lower fields. It is depressing. There has already been housing development where the allotments used to be. The proposed housing development should NOT go ahead. That area accommodates a public footpath and arable land, and is the last remaining area around Cubbington with pleasant views for walkers and runners to enjoy. I don't think the planners have any idea of how much unhappiness these proposals engender. Some of us are council tenants and can't 'sell up' and move away so easily. It is worrying to see those pieces of roofing felt placed alongside the hedgerows. Just what HS2 did even while that project was supposedly 'under review'. I hope this time residents views are listened to and the proposed development does NOT go ahead.
95	Parking challenges, increased pressure on schools, increased traffic and road use,
96	I'm looking to move back into Cubbington as my health & motability have got alot worse. There is no bus service out where I live at present. I would love to move back to Cubbington.
97	With the loss of green belt due to HS2 we don't need to loose anymore natural land. The impact would be catastrophic for the area, driving down house prices in an area that has remained essentially a small community. Putting 2000 houses in this area will destroy the local communities and cubbington will loose it's identity as a little village on the edge of Leamington. There are plenty of places that could be redeveloped from waste land first.
98	Cubbington is valued for its village feel we do not want any more new builds! They are tacky and not wanted. Cubbington is a place for people to live and feel valued we like our village the way it is
99	Proposals to build thousands of houses in a small rural area will put an unbearable strain on local services as well as cause serious disruption to the local area in terms of building works while construction is carried out and congestion and road safety for children and elderly in the area. This is without mentioning the destruction of beautiful green spaces used by all the residents in the area.
100	Run off water causing Pingle brooks to flood properties that are at the edge of Pingle brook
101	Cubbington village has a history of flooding due to it being a shallow valley. We have had Severn Trent complete several surveys due to issues with the current sewage network which appears to be under stress. I was informed unofficially that the whole network needs upgrading and this is without further housing building.
102	We have had to suffer and continue, endless build projects, with the most obvious continuing for years to come HS2. Therefore we should be spared any further disruption and blight to the area.
103	Detriment to village character of Cubbington.
104	Far too many houses and people for a village. HS2 is already ripping through the local green space and land and more and more houses will only continue to destroy this. The village feel will be taken away if more houses are built. There will be too much traffic and more congestion and there are not enough health services / GPs etc to serve more houses. I feel this will become too condensed and also affect our house property.
105	I hate the look of new builds they're terribly made and very ugly with no individuality nor character, ruining the landscape
106	The proposed developments are about maximising density and profit rather than promoting a scheme which is in keeping with the area and can be incorporated into the local context.
107	Both SG06 and especially SG05 are used by walkers and dog walkers as a green space to walk. If both of these are built on the will be no open green spaces in easy access of New Cubbington and parts of Lillington except for small parks. Enjoying the open countryside is valuable for well being.
108	A significant increase to what is in effect a village. Existing traffic problems.
109	I am very worried that more urban development will increase flooding concerns within the village.
110	Loss of village life Loss of countryside
111	We are surrounded by the considerable inconvenience of HS2, resulting in frequent road closures and have been now for years. It is ongoing with no apparent end in sight. Local schools are already full. The destruction of nature in this area for HS2 and other housing developments has been beyond distressing and it's not fair to add to that misery. Our local firm, Thwaites, expanded recently and that is great but not without much construction traffic, which of course, is understandable but nonetheless it had an impact. We need our green spaces for our health and wellbeing. We have already been deprived of so much of that.
112	Building site opposite our home for 5+ years, and loss of property value is our main concern
113	We bought our house as we loved backing into fields that we use every day to walk the dog. Losing these fields are an eyesore and takes away why we bought in the area!
114	Offchurch Road often has high levels of traffic, building off offchurch road is likely to drastically increase this. There is a roundabout at the top of Offchurch Road which already struggles with the volume of traffic. The fields from Offchurch Road provide green space for walkers and wildlife that would be lost between Leamington and Cubbington. Flooding on Offchurch Road has occurred in the last 12 months I have lived here, increased run off from this land if built on would likely occur and worsen this issue.

115	As well as all the above concerns, it will also increase crime in this area. Cubbington village is a beautiful, historical, peaceful village and always has been. These new developments will encourage crime. I feel safe living where I do in the village and rarely lock my front door during the day time unless I'm going out (rightly or wrongly so - but surely that's just testimony to how safe it is here?). We are a community who look out for each other. Furthermore, with new housing developments come new infrastructure - how is that going to work? There isn't enough green space as it is. It seems there will be nowhere left to walk in open spaces and take in nature - we've already got HS2 carving up our countryside where we all used to be able to roam freely, where wildlife was once abundant but now habitats have been destroyed and these housing developments are just taking more away from the residents and from the wildlife. There are many, many reasons why these developments shouldn't go ahead - we all know that our voices won't be heard, that this is just another box-ticking, due diligence exercise - we won't be listened to, and at the end of the day, it will proceed with or without anything we might say being taken into consideration. How sad that developers/councillors want to detract from the beauty and history surrounding Cubbington - shame on whoever gives the go ahead on these developments. That, in itself, is a crime in my personal opinion.
116	Some of development on greenbelt land
117	Loss of village identity and environment. The new developments would be car dependent as public transport is poor, cycling infrastructure non-existent and the roads are already at capacity. It is in direct contradiction to the local councils' declaration of climate change emergency by encouraging more motor vehicle use.
118	Noise pollution, loss of farmland, light pollution. Overwhelmed youth services could lead to more anti social behaviour, particularly with the lillington council estate area
119	Cubbington is a small village that already struggles whenever there are electrical, water or roadworks taking place, due to the number of vehicles travelling busy main roads. This will increase that problem It is an attractive location for people to live because of the fields and green belt. This will reduce that and have an impact on properties surrounded by those fields
120	The areas proposed are vital community amenities. It only takes 5 minutes to see how many people need the areas for exercise and general wellbeing
121	Loss of farm land
122	My main concern is the speed of vehicles. Kenilworth Road where I live is like a motorway. 40mph and 30 is a joke and many times feel like my arm is going to be cut off. So more people, more cars, more pollution... Road is getting worse... people forget that by speeding and then braking the tarmac suffers and gets damaged and potholes... the amount of lorries passing too speeding... schools are full, cars everywhere... noise is high and constant... Cubbington is on a downward slope and isn't just about the house value but quality of life...
123	Traffic and flooding
124	this is disgraceful, HS2 going on at the same time more noise pollution ... really . Just a no think about the people and not money for once
125	Loss of green belt
126	Although there are several smaller supermarkets in the area, it will mean increased traffic through town and the surrounding roads to access major stores at the retail park
127	My main concern is that the scale of the proposed developments does not appear to be matched by sufficient investment in local infrastructure. Cubbington and the surrounding areas already experience pressure on roads, GP services, schools and public transport, and further large-scale housing could significantly worsen congestion and reduce quality of life for existing residents. I am also concerned about the loss of green space and the long-term impact on the character and identity of the village. The surrounding countryside is an important part of what makes Cubbington desirable and contributes to residents' wellbeing, wildlife habitats and environmental balance. Development should be sustainable, proportionate and supported by clear infrastructure plans before approval is considered. At present, I do not feel confident that the proposals adequately address these issues.
128	Poor quality of new build housing developments and what is granted planning permission is not what is built.
129	The green space is well used by locals especially dog walking in SG05. The risk of losing this site is putting the only large green space to be only newbold. There are far better sites than SG05 to use. I agree with SG06 as this provides no real use to anyone. My further concern on SG06 which i fed back is the high number of social housing proposed, as not only is it an insult for people to get houses at discount compared to those who have worked hard to get there, it also 99% comes with antisocial behaviour which would spoil this nice area of leamington spa.
130	How is going to afford these houses, not my children
131	We have recently moved from Milverton and submitted an objection for SG06. Happy to support any further objection as part of SG05.
132	Yet further loss of wildlife habitat and biodiversity. Despite lofty promises in most new build developments there seems to be little provision for this, both during and after completion, and wildlife can't keep carrying the cost. There should be active consultation throughout all building as to minimising impact on wildlife and if this can't, or won't, be provided then plans should be disallowed. The manner of building with large scale developments theses days with houses so close together, back to back and overlooking each other, and little or no wildlife corridors and open green space, especially wild green space, is to the detriment of all of us, animals and people alike. I fear it could also lead to issues with a decline in mental health and well being if they continue. I am very much AGAINST development on green belt land when there is ample brownfield sites available. I disagree with plans for both SG05 and SG06 for this reason. Thank you.
133	HS2 construction we don't need anymore in this area
134	If average birth rate for British couples is 1.3 per couple, why is all this extra housing needed? Its difficult to get hospital/doctors/dentist appointments now! Are new schools included in this development?

135	From environmental perspective this plot is the start of beautiful rolling Warwickshire hills and will ruin the landscape. This area is home to badgers (we have seen them in last few weeks), bats, shrews, Montjack as well as many birds and insects. From an infrastructure perspective neither rugby road (which regularly has temporary traffic lights which create traffic chaos) or windmill hill can cope with additional traffic. There is also flooding which regularly occurs despite the high ground and this would worsen. There is also the loss of farmland currently used for growing food crops. Finally there is the impact on doctors surgeries, hospitals, ambulance services etc which are already very stretched. This is not a suitable location despite the "charitable" trust that owns the land continually trying to build on it.
136	Rain water management, as proposed site acts as a major water hold during the winter.
137	I think ruining all of this Greenland is disgusting. There isn't a need for this many houses in the area. It will completely destroy the value of the area and make leamington an even worse place to live for traffic
138	The need to reclassify perfectly good agricultural green belt arable land to grey belt to push through housing developments.
139	The fields around cubbington are precious and beautiful, it gives new cubbington such a wonderful countryside feel. Please don't destroy it. The path between new cubbington (opposite Sainsbury's) and cubbington village is a crucial access to countryside views for me and my young family, we would be so sad if this was built on.
140	Impact on flooding for the village. It is already high and has impacted the entire village. Loss of the right of way through green space and the subsequent impact on mental health. Impact on being able to get busses as they are already stretched and infrequent. The increased traffic causing congestion. The pollution resulting from greater number of houses, cars, log burners etc.
141	We live on Offchurch Road and have wonderful views over green space currently. In the evenings particularly we are able to hear all of the wildlife that surrounds us and lives in these spaces. It feels like Cubbington is currently a village independent of Leamington - this identity would be massively eroded with development on this site
142	Increase traffic and congestion issues on top of many road closures due to HS2. Increase risk of criminality on the area due to more homes particularly in the building stages which would require more police presence which is minimal currently due to the lack of resources warwickshire police are currently dealing with. More environmental impact on top of the HS2 site, with a loss of green/brown belt will have a large impact on wildlife.
143	This will destroy the local public footpaths with country views alongside local farmland and further reduce the range available to wildlife. This is productive farmland and we NEED productive farmland. On a personal level the loss of available green space is deeply distressing (already depressed about HS2 destruction of once beautiful landscape with mature trees and precious wildlife habitats). There are alternatives that do not impact on green space. Why isn't something done about all the empty spaces above shops in Leamington for a start? Brownfield sites should be the primary target for development.
144	New housing on the green belt will congest roads, particularly towards Offchurch and over stretch village facilities such as schools and doctors. HS2 is eroding green space in villages and extra housing on green belt will further erode the village environment
145	Loss of green spaces, wildlife and pressure on services
146	This development should not be considered at SG05. Anyone who travels regularly along this road will tell you that the road cannot cope with any more traffic There are regularly water/electric/phone/repairs on this road requiring temporary traffic lights which already bring the whole road to a standstill. Windmill Hill isn't much better and that will also be impacted. Aside from this the local secondary school (North Leamington) has 700 applications for 200 places each year as it is so extra houses will worsen this. In addition ambulance waiting times are already very long and more houses, more traffic and more roads without new hospitals will further worsen this and endanger life. Also there is the loss of green space that is used very heavily by hikers, dog walkers, children providing a scenic path connecting Cubbington, Offchurch and Newbold Comyn. Finally there is the impact on nature, a friend backing onto this green land has newts accessing their garden, also there have been regular sightings of badgers and bats coming out of the copse at the back where Cubbington Road meets Oakridge road. This would be a crime and I suspect a crime has already taken place with the demolished house on Cubbington/Rugby Road that will be used for the supposed access point.
147	Loss of Cubbington as a village, merging it into Lillington and Leamington The site closest to Cubbington has been bought up again and again for decades. And views of locals sought and those opposing it discounted every time. The building work will obviously proceed regardless.
148	I would be concerned that the infrastructure another development is not substantial enough. This is a green belt area and should not be built on. The building could be met in other areas that are not green belt. The identity of Cubbington as a village will be lost
149	Maintaining separation between communities is important, the requirement for more dwellings is not proven. There is insufficient provision for local employment, shops and infrastructure. The flooding risks in this area are well known and previous attempts at developing these sites have not been able to satisfy the requirements to prevent rather than mitigate flooding.
150	-early years Childcare places are already hard to find locally, -The roundabout backing down windmill Hill and cubbington road already gets really congested -Noise pollution and the damage to wildlife from building works
151	Already hard to sign up to a nearby dentist.
152	The loss of green space around north Leamington would be devastating for the environment and local people's wellbeing. The current farm land that surrounds newbold common and red house farm keep the park and surrounds feeling rural and like a green space away from relentless development across the rest of Leamington. Building houses surrounding it would ruin the calm feel and beautiful views over the countryside, impact the rewilding efforts currently going on at the site and cause noise pollution for both humans and animals. In addition, the development will inevitably add further congestion on already busy and inadequate roads. The council show little inclination to facilitate regular enough public transport that it would ease this congestion or safe, town wide cycling routes that don't lead to nowhere. These new developments would push traffic through the centre of town as all major shopping centres and supermarkets are in the south of the town. The route through Gresham avenue, up the hill and down to Leicester Street and upper holles walk is already overly congested and inappropriately sized for what would become an even more central artery for cars coming from the new estates. Infrastructure alone makes this proposal madness.

153	There are many brown field and grey field sites across Leamington and the wider country that could be better repurposed to sustainably meet the UK housing shortage. Destroying greenbelt and farm land which will further weaken the country's food security is not a sustainable course of action to fix the issue of housing. Schools in the north Leamington area are already at capacity with North Leamington School having well over 1200 pupils, and many smaller primary schools boasting class sizes at 30 pupils or more, that is at the current level of demand for local public services. The proposition for 1300 new homes will only exacerbate this issue. The increase in new homes will have a detrimental impact on congestion, placing further strain on roads already at capacity. This development with no provision for the infrastructure necessary including new schools, healthcare centers, dentists and everything else that is classed as a modern necessity is ill thought through and will ultimately negatively impact the local community.
154	We live in Lillington and back onto the proposed site. Had I not seen the Cubbington sign I would not have been aware of the most recent planning application. This also has a major impact upon my street and adjoining street ; Oakridge road; which according to the information on the Cubbington Parish Council website is where access will be to the proposed site. This is the first I have heard of this as no information, leafletting or other communication has been forthcoming from Lillington councillors recently. Previously planning proposals have been rejected on two separate occasions in the past 20 years and no one I have spoken to in my street is aware a new proposal had been re submitted! Residents in my close are not aware unless they have seen your sign. The impact on Lillington is immense as the development spreads right along its border. So why have Lillington residents not been properly informed especially Oakridge road and Leighton close? There will be a major impact upon all the roads/ houses along Cubbington road/ Parklands Avenue and all roads off Parklands, Oakridge and Leighton close; the high rise blocks and surrounding roads and housing around the top of Mason avenue and Buckley road. There will be a financial implication for all houses that back on to this development. I have been recovering from a major operation so have seen the spring changes in the landscape, wild life and Farming, fields being prepared, crops sown, for the past 3 months up close. Privileged to see deer, foxes, badgers and all the farm field birds. local water birds- heron seen regularly. This is Greenbelt land/ Agricultural land and should be preserved for British food production , reduction in carbon footprint and wildlife. My farming friends state That the only reason contractors want greenbelt land is that they do not have to clear it of rubble etc first so costs them less and higher profit margins. not a good reason to destroy habitats and environmental damage. when Green belt Land goes it has gone with all its wildlife and environmental benefits. impact upon global warming and climate change. what about flooding ? The field is constantly sodden after rain which will only roll downhill into Cubbington. Covering more land with concrete will only contribute to climate change/ flooding in the future. I agree with all your points raised on your site regarding infrastructure schools, roads, especially when HS2 has and continues to cause damage , destruction to so much land locally. HS2 causes more delays, closure of roads pushing more and more traffic into Cubbington/Lillington. The Windmill Hill roundabout currently is an accident waiting to happen in a vehicle; and trying to cross any of the four roads as a pedestrian is "a take your life into your own hands affair" as an adult; let alone a child. There is no infrastructure to accommodate the extra traffic which will be generated. Perhaps crossings should be considered. During peak traffic times it is difficult enough to get out of Parklands Avenue currently. Whilst housing is needed there are plenty of other non Greenbelt sites which can and should be utilised or this Green and pleasant Land will no longer be Green but solid urban sprawl.
155	Apart from issues pertaining to the above I believe the housing need can be met without loss of green belt. No consultation with Lillington residents.
156	I object to this proposal because it would reduce the openness of the Green Belt, harm the rural character of the area, increase pressure on local infrastructure and roads, and create a precedent for further development on protected land. The Green Belt should be preserved to prevent urban sprawl and protect the local environment for future generations. The development may lead to loss of countryside views and natural habitat.
157	The development of SG05 would effectively join Lillington to Cubbington and Cubbington would no longer be a separate village.
158	I visited Cubbington recently what a beautiful peaceful tranquil part of the country, my friends house backs on to the fields, which is a lovely out look, the wildlife and surrounding areas will be spoilt again with more housing, this is green belt land. I have just heard the council is trying to change the green belt into a grey belt area so permission is granted. What a disgrace as a council with no consultation to general public or residents who are local to the neighbourhood. You should be a shame of yourselves. I'm sure there is enough brown and grey belt areas which can be built on. I bet no counsellors live within the area the disruption, therefore they won't see the problem which will occur for these neighbours. Also the size of the entrence into the field is limited the noise and pollution will be devastating and stressful for all residents within that area.
159	How the water table sits on the proposed land, when we have a heavy downpour of rain, water in the past has been a torrent down Oakridge Road. Which led to the houses in Parklands Ave, opposite Oakridge Road, to be badly flooded. Also, Cubbington is on the other side of the land. With the village suffering bad floods in fairly recent history, it would be a very brave person to sign the go ahead to build on the land.
160	The area is Green Belt - farmed land so should (as implied by introduction text) be discarded from the plans. Wild life (Badgers in a huge set in the field / foxes / bats / dormice) visit from their current habitat so would be in danger should development be approved.
161	The proposed access road of Oakridge Road is already has a large number of cars accessing and also parking on it - nowhere near safe enough for accessing this site
162	Loss of green space and access to Cubbington Road already very congested. School children of all ages cross it and Parklands Road where near misses happen already due to cars parked on the road sides
163	Proposed access to this area is too narrow as car parked on the road sides when visiting houses. Lorries already struggle to navigate these roads as is -/ Road already floods at Parklands /Oakridge when heavy rain happens.
164	We moved to the area specifically to get out of London to appreciate more greenery and nature and to have a less busy and noisy environment. If these plans were to go ahead, we would be very disappointed and would possibly look to move elsewhere.
165	We moved to the area specifically to get out of London to appreciate more greenery and nature and to have a less busy and noisy environment.

166	This has been a ridiculous planning proposal from the outset. Who are the homes for? No provision for supporting infrastructure. The referendum was a joke, badly planned, almost deliberately hidden from residents, exclusive of residents impacted.
167	The proposed development would have a significant and lasting impact on local wildlife, resulting in the loss of valuable habitats that support important natural ecosystems. These green spaces are essential not only for biodiversity but also for the environmental health of the wider area. Cubbington has a distinct village identity and rural character that residents deeply value and are committed to preserving for future generations. The fields to the west of the village form an integral part of this landscape and are widely used by local families, walkers, and the dog-walking community for recreation, wellbeing, and connection with nature. Protecting these open spaces is vital to safeguarding both the unique character of Cubbington and the quality of life enjoyed by those who live here.
168	Water pressure is already an issue in the area Getting places for at a local nursery is already an issue in the area Getting
169	The fields directly behind the houses on rugby road are well used for dog walking and leisure uses, fundamentally it's a village green
170	Our green spaces have been eroded enough through housing developments and hs2. The impact this will have on the local area during the development will be severe and the ongoing impact on the local area will be really disruptive on our environment and services.
171	Cubbington's green spaces and surrounding countryside are what make it such a wonderful place for families to live. The area has already lost so much to HS2, and the impact on our local environment has been immense. We cannot allow another unnecessary and unwanted housing development to further erode our green belt. Once these precious spaces are gone, they are gone forever. We should be protecting the unique character of Cubbington, not sacrificing it unnecessarily.
172	I'm deeply concerned about the loss of this Green Belt land and the impact it would have on local wildlife. At a time when we're facing both a climate crisis and a biodiversity crisis, it feels completely wrong to destroy valuable green spaces that provide habitats for wildlife, absorb carbon and help make our communities more resilient. Once this countryside is built on, it can't simply be replaced. I'm also worried about the loss of productive farmland. Good quality agricultural land is an increasingly important resource, particularly as we face the challenges of climate change and food security. It doesn't seem sensible to build over land that is already providing environmental and agricultural benefits when there may be more suitable alternatives. I don't believe building on Green Belt land should be considered while there are other sites available that could meet housing needs. The Green Belt exists to prevent urban sprawl and protect the character of places like Cubbington. If this development goes ahead, I'm concerned it will set a precedent for even more countryside to be lost in future. I'm also concerned about the increase in traffic. Most of the jobs, supermarkets etc are in the south of Leamington and the roads through town are already extremely congested. Adding to the volume of traffic on such a large scale would be disastrous - I struggle to see how our roads would cope with thousands of additional journeys every day. I'm equally worried about the extra pressure on GP surgeries and dentists, which already feel stretched.
173	I, along with many others from Lillington and Cubbington, have used the area between Parklands Avenue and Welsh Road for decades as a healthy, green boost to our mental Wellbeing. Wellbeing activities are now seen by the Medical Profession as a vital part of treatment for a range of conditions. This is seldom taken into consideration but should be seen as a high priority.
174	With HS2 just up the road we've already lost access to peaceful greenbelt. These houses are getting closer and closer to HS2 and pushing residents further and further from accessing nature. Access to nature has a proven impact on mental and physical health. Furthermore, in light of the current heat wave, further urban sprawl will only exacerbate the intensity of future heat waves as there is less nature to shade / absorb heat. Roads in this area are already congested and getting to a large supermarket requires traveling to the other side of town. We don't have the capacity to support more houses on this side of town. There are already thousands of houses being built around the area, can we not just leave some access to greenbelt for existing residents? The council have been considering restrictions on dogs being walked in town parks. These green belt areas are one of the only areas that feel not at risk of regulation for dog walkers.
175	Access to supermarkets is in the south of the town with 3 crossing points in the town. Adding more traffic to these points would be grid lock.
176	We are living in a biodiversity and climate crisis. We are reaching record breaking temperatures, we have record breaking biodiversity loss. We must, must start protecting our green belt, not building on it. The UK are in the bottom 10% worldwide for biodiversity, one of the most nature-depleted countries in the world. BNG is not well monitored enough or implemented consistently so is not an argument for new developments. It is tick box. I know we need houses, we need nature too. Without nature we won't have food or a livable climate. Put the houses on land that is not green belt. Also from a mental health perspective, the country side here has been decimated by HS2. Don't take away two of the remaining green spaces that people can walk to without having to witness that ongoing carnage. It does have a real impact on people's well-being.
177	I am very supportive of building more affordable and sustainable housing, including more high and medium density housing. Please, please don't approve more half-million pound new builds that look like garbage, do nothing to ease the housing crisis, and ruin the flood plains.
178	I live on windmill hill traffic at school and work time is already a nightmare especially coming up to the mini roundabout which doesn't work as a roundabout anyway. Where I live there is no parking and when it's school time you can't park anywhere near your own house already so adding more people and more cars will only add to this problem. As far as I'm aware the schools in and around Cubbington are already very full so where would the children go to school? My next big issue is the impact on nature not only would it take away an area where families enjoy walking, there are foxes, muntjac deer. Woodpeckers, birds of prey, these animals have had a big impact already from the destruction of the nearby land and woods for HS2 enough is surely enough. Cubbington is a village and we like it that way the green spaces are the appeal for a lot of people, why keep destroying green land people will not be able to breathe soon for all the destruction of natural spaces. Plant trees not houses.
179	Cubbington no longer but a village but being engulfed by Lillington and Leamington at large
180	Pressure on schools and doctors surgeries.

181	1. We chose to move to Cubbington for the village setting. A large part of the decision was that our house overlooks green spaces and we are surrounded by diverse wildlife and flora. Not only would the development remove a large area of this green space (and along with it the homes of much wildlife) but it would have an impact on adjacent green space due to the ongoing noise and work required. The village identity would also be eroded by joining together Lillington with the old part of Cubbington. 2. We would also be concerned about the resale value of our house- as mentioned a big benefit of the location is the direct access to green space opposite. 3. We have young children whose secondary education would be impacted down the line by the creation of thousands of new houses. I am aware that NLS is already at capacity and so with thousands of houses being build nearer to the school, this would leave our children with the strong likelihood that they would no longer be close enough to warrant a place - whilst not being provided with any nearby alternative. 4. The roads are already congested (Offchurch Road at peak times particularly) - there is not provision to ease the pressure that additional houses would add to our existing infrastructure.
182	Whilst all of the areas suggested on green belt are hugely damaging, I feel that the area behind the Cubbington shops and out towards newbold is even more catastrophic. Access to nature and walking is vital for health and well-being. This area is the only truly accessible by foot from our local community access to open space and nature and the number of local people who rely on this for health and wellbeing is huge. We used to have access to Cubbington woods and beyond easily but HS2 has had a catastrophic impact on this already. So this other access if removed will pen us all in. Dog walkers will need to put their dogs in their cars and drive to suitable dog walking areas - impacting on the environment even further and people in our community who don't have access to transport will have less access to nature and open space and impact on their health and wellbeing. With such a lot of land being damaged by hs2 already this seems like a double blow. Can we not use some of the land ruined at present by hs2 site car parks, cement works and prep areas etc for residential building? Let's face it - it's not going to be returned to nature and according to hs2 the noise will be minimal (if you see their reports to nearby houses along the route!) the land ruined by hs2 already goes way beyond the route so these extras surely could be repurposed and save our green belt. And it's already clear it could be done without hitting green belt
183	Drainage of rainwater. Windmill hill and Offchurch Road already struggle during heavy rainfall. These bouts of heavy rain are becoming more eratic. The drains along this road are mostly blocked or broken which also makes the problem worse
184	Ruining the feel of the area/character and impact through development
185	As a resident of Lillington and a commercial architect, I support the need for new housing and recognise that South Warwickshire must accommodate growth. My objection is not to development itself, but to the unnecessary release of Green Belt land where alternatives appear to exist. The Local Plan's own evidence suggests that South Warwickshire's housing requirement can be met through non-Green Belt strategic locations and planned new settlements. If sufficient capacity exists elsewhere, Green Belt release should remain a last resort rather than a first response. The Green Belt north of Leamington performs the function it was intended for: containing outward expansion, protecting separation between settlements and maintaining a clear transition between urban and rural landscapes. Once removed, that protection cannot realistically be restored. From a planning and development perspective, growth should be directed to locations that deliver housing alongside infrastructure, transport connectivity and long-term placemaking benefits. There does not yet appear to be a convincing case that SG05 and SG06 represent the most sustainable option compared with available non-Green Belt alternatives. There is also concern over the loss of productive agricultural land and established ecological networks, both of which are difficult to replace once developed. I support delivering homes where they are needed. I do not support releasing Green Belt land where evidence indicates housing needs can be achieved elsewhere.
186	What about brownfield development? Where are the people who want these new houses? Where are the jobs?
187	Greenbelt should be kept as green belt. The reason we moved to the area was the walkable access to the countryside. As someone under 45 I am concerned for my retirement mental health as within 20 years there won't be any countryside within walking distance. The main advantage of not living in the centre of town where we moved from was the surrounding countryside.
188	We already have had significant housing developments with no additional infrastructure. Alongside HS2 more loss of green space is unacceptable and reduces the quality of our environment
189	I am responding from Lillington. The area of proposed development would be a devastating loss of green space. I highly doubt the infrastructure of the local area could support another huge housing development. Lillington is already an area with a challenging socioeconomic status with a huge proportion being council housing, this will further drive down their quality of living. What percentage of the new development will be council owned? What supporting infrastructure is being suggested? What benefits does this give to the existing residents of Lillington and Cubbington? What will be the environmental impact on already endangered birds and other native wildlife?
190	Cubbington has little in the way of green spaces for walking, dogs and people, which aren't parks for children. The green space behind the Rugby Road shops is invaluable to the community and is used throughout the day by many individuals and families. Linking as it does to the fields beyond and it is an intrinsic part of various circular walks through local fields. It would be a real deprivation if our community were to lose this area.
191	Leamington is too overcrowded already and we have lost too much green space. Schools and Warwick Hospital can't cope. Cubbington woods has been destroyed by HS2 and now another beautiful green area could be destroyed by houses.
192	I live in Lillington
193	Crime rate and anti social behaviour
194	Electric and water supply as it happened low pressure and out of electricity a couple of times during the summer already.

195	Aside from concerns about the increase in traffic and congestion, this area is already struggling for adequate School provision and health services. From an environmental perspective, HS2 is already having a significant impact and this area has always been classed as greenbelt. It seems now that that classification has been changed to greybelt though it is not clear what's driven that change. Fundamentally, the construction of these houses will be detrimental to the character and appeal of the area due to the significant loss of green space. All of the roads leading out of this area are single file traffic and can come already become congested at busy periods
196	All over Leamington and Warwick there are thousands of box like homes with poor hot weather protection and tiny gardens being built, with little thought about critical infrastructure and existing residents. Why has this land been changed from Greenbelt to Greybelt?
197	This area is green belt land home to a multitude of wildlife and used and enjoyed by the community. The roads and services are already stretched. The senior school is over subscribed and children from the area already do not get in. The environmental and social impact of this development would be devastating for all.
198	Too many houses already. The effect of green land. Destruction of wildlife. Properties already in the area. None of the new builds are affordable!
199	Thus is greenbelt land, and a fertile agricultural growing area as well as a green space for walkers in certain parts. There is no local infrastructure to support extra homes in the area, local schools and doctors surgeries are already oversubscribed. Traffic is at full capacity in the area.
200	Character of the area will change, we're on the edge of the country and the town.
201	This area is appealing for its green spaces and countryside, we do not want to be surrounded by buildings especially with the proposed developments at Leicester lane and HS2 disruptions We are in lillington
202	Services , parking and traffic are all suffering with the current level of residents . The proposed increase in housing would further negatively impact the area. We are suffering from the environmental impact of development across the world surely adding to this is totally irresponsible. The green belt was set up to address this in a small way it cannot be right to reduce it.
203	This was green belt lend why is it now grey belt land? Existing properties were bought based that this was green belt land. The roads around these areas can simply not cope with this number of house. Nor can the schools. There aren't any big supermarkets this side of town.
204	This is a big concern for the area. The fields should stay as they are and protect the local environment. We are simply not equipped to take extra housing in this area.
205	Devaluing Leamington even more, the south side is already over developed and now you are moving to this side. Leamington has always been a lovely place to live and held value because of it's rural charm with access to amenities. That's being wiped out. In addition the building work is right next to the secondary school which will make it dangerous for our children walking to school every day. It will completely ruin the area, devaluing north Leamington and making it dangerous for our children during the process. In addition north lem is already over subscribed and this will make it worse. Stop thinking short term about making money from the land and thing long term about protecting our town.
206	Don't understand why we would build on green belt when there are plenty of other options available. Regional housing targets need reassessing given the government isn't going to get anywhere near the 1.5m homes planned over 5 years
207	Lack of extra infrastructure super markets recreational facilities
208	This would be a disaster for the area. Added to that the environmental impact of taking away our green space, meaning more people drive to find green space. Councils don't seem to care about protecting the future of earth at all. The negative press that the council would get as a result would be huge. Also the awful experience for all of the children at the secondary school.
209	Lack of public transport provision and lack of cycle lanes despite a large high school, two primary schools and the cricket club all very close by. I am concerned about the change of green belt to grey belt
210	It's just too many houses on one site.
211	I have seen the negative impact that similar housing estates have had on other areas (such as Quinton and Lighthorne) and know how it completely changes the dynamics and impacts the local schools.
212	We have had to endure the nonsense of HS2 and now the possibility of this ridiculous plan.
213	Flooding is a major concern for the village Taking away the village environment Taking away countryside People coming into village from Lillington area , rise of crime Not enough schools
214	The developments will lead to the detriment of the character of the area and huge loss of green space. There is already traffic issue and this will be worsened.
215	Neighbouring estates joining and not making Cubbington a village anymore. My children not being able to go to the local schools because of space. Impact on wildlife and the available walking areas around Cubbington which is one of the main reasons I live here. Concerns on the value of my property decreasing once the development has started and essentially being forced out of Cubbington due to the development.
216	Loss of biodiversity and increased climate change advancement. After this heatwave, are we seriously considering cutting down trees and losing green space? There are so many buildings and estates that can and should be renovated and improved. We can't build on green space and fields anymore!
217	The local high school can't offer enough places as it is. How are you going to accommodate more kids in the area?
218	No build on green belt. This is how you loose everyone's support.

219	The most worrying part for us is space at secondary schools in the local area. We live in central Leamington Spa and in North Leamington Schools catchment area and even we couldn't get in last year. You cannot build more houses without the infrastructure to support it. You must increase the size of North Leamington Schools prior to this change or decrease its catchment area to remove the villages surrounding Leamington, so children in Leamington Spa can get into a local school. My daughter has to walk 45 mins to school (and back - so 1.5hrs a day) to go to a school in Warwick. It's absurd and nonsensical.
220	Leamington needs to be balanced - if this housing comes with facilities and infrastructure and shops to prevent so much traffic through leamington to the retail park, then I think that's great
221	We already don't have the infrastructure to support the continued increase in housing and population in Leamington. Our schools, roads, GP surgeries and local services are already under immense pressure. This proposal won't just affect school catchment areas—it will also destroy beautiful green land that has always been protected as Green Belt. Why has this designation been changed? From an environmental perspective, this is a hugely damaging decision, with long-term consequences for wildlife, biodiversity and future generations. It feels like a short-sighted approach that prioritises development over sustainable planning. This is an absolutely horrendous decision and reflects a complete lack of foresight.
222	Loss of country side
223	Reducing the ability for local kids to go to North Leam school
224	We live in Lillington, but are looking at moving house to within Cubbington village. This development proposal will directly influence our plans in a negative way, if granted the go ahead. Cubbington will lose it's appeal of being a village and become just an urban sprawl, radiating out from Leamington and Lillington.
225	I live in Lillington and have concerns about the proposed development for the reasons ticked.
226	With the impact HS2 has had on the local landscape, further proposals should be curtailed to balance this out. Local roads are also currently congested at peak times.
227	The local secondary school is already at capacity. Where are the children expected to go?
228	This should be retained as green belt for the area. This number of houses will take away the 'green', parkland and views that makes Leamington/Milverton surrounding so charming and desirable. We do not want beautiful parkland and fields flattened for more housing estates (there have already been so many added in the surrounding area). It's unlikely that public services and amenities will be added/expanded to take account of the growing population, and the extra traffic is going to cause a big impact on local roads. I worry about the environmental impact. There are so many deer, foxes and local wildlife that live in the Newbold Comyn area and Milverton and their habitats will be destroyed. Plus the extra light pollution in the area and fumes from additional vehicles. Please reconsider the proposal and keep this a lovely green area that keeps the town as is it and not just acres of concrete and housing estates.
229	The awful idea that green belt land should not then be built on!
230	I am concerned that North Leamington Secondary School is already over subscribed. What will happen to the current catchment area? Will it reduce in distance further to accommodate all the new housing? Are there plans to increase the capacity of North Leamington School?
231	As a Leamington resident, I am concerned about the increased sprawl occurring to the town, particularly into protected greenbelt land. There are opportunities to meet the housing targets without developments on green belt land, OR this size. Further, the recent new build developments have been single use properties, not in keeping with the Victorian town design, or using the space efficiently (bungalows or semi detached, rather than apartments or terraced). We should be demanding more of these developers to be building better quality housing.
232	The traffic on Buckley Road and current parking on pavements will become worse for residents.
233	The mess and the noise from a construction site this big for so many years. The loss of green space is a huge factor, we bought our house because of the green space around the area and now it is at risk of being wiped out.
234	It's ridiculous. The poor wildlife, animals, insects and ecosystems that will be wiped out. There will be no green space left. We do not need more houses. I will sell my house and leave the area if this does go ahead. I am not one but supportive and think it's an utter disgrace. Rich greedy men just wanting more more more. Nothing is enough. Corruption at its finest building on green belt land.
235	Too many houses in Leamington anyway, not helping any requirements. Lillington is already gridlock anyway. Why build in green space, when will it all end. No need for so many houses. All this is just to help cov quota and get extra council tax.
236	More affordable housing for genuine Cubbington youngsters!
237	I strongly object to the proposed developments due to the lack of clear evidence that local infrastructure can support this level of growth. Schools, GP surgeries, and hospital services are already under pressure, and there is no guarantee they will be expanded in time to meet demand. The proposals will also significantly increase traffic congestion in and around Cubbington and Leamington Spa. I am additionally concerned about the loss of Green Belt land and the long-term environmental impact of large-scale development in this area.
238	Completely change from being a village. Infrastructure already struggling. We got school miles away from our home as no space in "catchment" school. Doctors joke to get appointment and no NHS dentist available due to overstretched. Greed is the incentive for this. Not community welfare.
239	All of the above, cannot cope already, what more arguments against it do you need?
240	Completely ruin village
241	Public services in this area are already struggling to cope with demand.
242	Ruining the town

243	The plans lack any clear consideration of infrastructure, the school is already heavily over subscribed and those nearby have to travel. The plans decimate green land and the plans only contain token green area but do not account for the wildlife that will be dislodged. Building companies end up with no real accountability to ensure the impact of the housing is mitigated. There are developments such as those in Kenilworth where properties remain unsold.
244	The are will be overcrowded and more traffic and we will loose nature green space in this are
245	The green space is so heavily utilised by the village which is already being chopped apart the other side by HS2. This village feels under siege! Is the destruction one side not enough? The village has a separate identity to Lillington and this work will create and mega housing estate and devalue the countryside and community.
246	I live in Lillington Cedar close. I am completely opposed to the development. In the north of Leamington we do not have any access to large supermarkets. Already the 2 metro supermarkets are very busy and car parks frequently full. As most amenities and shopping are at the south, the traffic is already awful to get through town to the shires retail park. This development with 1000s more people having to access the same large shops would congest the already congested roads. North Leamington school is already over prescribed and catchment is decreasing. More children from the estate would push out more children from going to NLS and diminish the catchment further. The doctors are also not equipped. Plus the green belt and incredible wildlife would also succumb to urban sprawl. The housing development is not needed and not wanted.
247	There been plenty of communication through post on HS2 DEVELOPMENTS but absolutely none on this.
248	Living in Lillington I'm concerned about increased traffic from the proposals and decreased green space in the local area.
249	Impact of heavy lorry's etc. We are already dealing with HS2 traffic!
250	I was born and raised in Cubbington, having lived here for 20+ years. I'm deeply concerned about the proposed developments and destruction of green belts due to the harm it will cause to local residents and wildlife. As a young professional looking to buy a first home, I am the target demographic for this plan, but these developments will not benefit me nor the local community. The proposed developments will negatively impact community wellbeing as many residents use these green spaces for fitness, social gatherings, and citizen science. Destroying our green belts will increase noise, air, and light pollution, and inevitably cause an increase in mental health concerns and depression for current residents. This will then put a greater strain and cost on local health services and the Warwickshire NHS. My love for nature was born from walking these fields as a child and I still walk these fields daily. I've witnessed and documented the wildlife that calls these green spaces home, and I've been lucky enough to go on to work in agriculture and the environmental sciences for The University of Oxford and Institut de Biologie de l'École Normale. I want our young people to have these same opportunities and I'd have thought Warwickshire County Council would want this as well, but the proposed developments will kill our wildlife by direct injury and death during construction, and permanent harm through habitat loss. How will the village's children and future generations learn first-hand about the natural sciences and the environment if green spaces disappear and there's no countryside left for them to experience? These green belts already have significant importance as they help to minimise the risks of flooding, especially SG05 which holds a large amount of water after rainfall, and minimises the flooding occurring in Cubbington Village. Building on this land will seriously endanger the Cubbington residents as any future rainfall will run off the new concrete and tarmac from the developments, increasing the severity and frequency of flooding in the village. This will cause health concerns, possibly life-threatening, and severe property damage to our homes, Church, school, and small businesses. I am disappointed and ashamed that this danger alone has not been considered by Warwickshire County Council as enough of a reason to put an immediate end to the proposal. How can the Council promote their partnership with the Environment Agency and warn of the dangers of climate change one week, and destroy our green belts in the next? The yearly chance of flooding from surface water here is designated 'High' and the yearly chance of flooding from surface water between 2040 and 2060 is still 'High'. We are already at risk and I cannot believe that the County Council would consider destroying green belts to increase flooding risks even further. It was only last year, October 2025, that Warwickshire County Council stated: "Flooding can cause tens of thousands of pounds in damage and have lasting impacts on health, wellbeing, and community resilience." And Warwickshire County Council's Portfolio Holder for Environment, Heritage and Culture, said: "Flooding is a serious and growing risk in Warwickshire... The County Council continues to work closely with communities and partners across Warwickshire to improve resilience and help residents protect their homes, businesses and loved ones from the devastating impacts of flooding." By destroying these green belts, Warwickshire County Council will be going against their promises to help residents and instead, the County Council will be directly endangering residents. The proposed developments will also be at risk of flooding, needlessly endangering any new residents and children. There are no benefits that would outweigh these dangers and risks. Warwickshire's housing need can be met without building on green belt land. I kindly ask the Council to put an immediate end to the proposed developments.
251	I think that it's awful that a green belt area can just be changed to grey belt so easily in order to help build more houses. We want to preserve as much green space as we can. We've lost so much to HS2 and there is so much climate change because we keep building things and not looking after our green spaces. Please don't do this!!!
252	Schools are already oversubscribed and there is regular congestion around Cubbington and Lillington. The road infrastructure is not suitable for any more regular traffic in the area.
253	I wish to object to the proposed housing development on the Green Belt land near my home. I am particularly concerned about the impact this development will have on road safety. My children walk to the local secondary school every day, and the proposed increase in traffic would significantly increase the risks to pedestrians, especially school children. Lime Avenue already experiences problems with drivers travelling at excessive speeds and driving irresponsibly. Residents regularly witness vehicles exceeding the speed limit, creating a dangerous environment for those walking and cycling in the area. An increase in traffic from a new housing development will only make these existing problems worse. I am also concerned about the loss of Green Belt land, which provides an important buffer between communities and contributes to the character of the local area. I urge the planning authority to carefully consider the cumulative impact of this development on road safety, particularly for children walking to school, and the consequences of building on protected Green Belt land.

254	We don't have the infrastructure to support such a big development. We need more schools, health services, a supermarket etc. we bought our home because we didn't want to live in a large built up area.
255	T
256	Do we have the infrastructure to accommodate all this development ie public transport, shops,other amenities
257	I do not believe that any plans I have seen address in any sufficient way the potential impact on roads. This area already suffers from significant congestion with the current housing numbers. Local.secondary schools are already significantly oversubscribed, how would this be addressed?
258	The greenery will be lost and it will increase crime rates as well
259	Pollution: this area has some of the highest air pollution levels outside of London already this plan will will increase this much further. Also GP provision is poor here.
260	Why are we not looking to regenerate property and space in town centre to prevent increasing dereliction of a thriving centre where people could walk to existing schools / healthcare/ shops and prevent congestion in one area . It is not clear from reports what demographic the majority housing gap is for ?
261	There will be no green spaces. HS2 has already eaten up the countryside around lillington
262	Regardless of Government housing initiatives we do not have the services in the local area to be able to cope with developments such as these. Until the government provide additional resources for all areas this cannot be approved.
263	This is green belt land & should remain so. We already have too many new houses built in Leamington - enough is enough. Why no new swimming pools to accommodate this extra population ?
264	This is a lovely area of open land and greenery right next to an already large residential area. It is so good for mental health and wellbeing to be able to access countryside close by. The Lillington Road is already very busy through the day, especially at peak times morning and evening. The local schools are already heavily oversubscribed.
265	Loss of amenity - loss of footpaths to walk and exercise pets. Loss of land at Red House Farm where horses are kept, with stables, barn, ménage, indoor school and paddocks. This is a unique facility in this area. Increased flood risk to Cubbington with building on land which currently absorbs rainfall and prevents excessive runoff on to Offchurch Road. Cubbington Road traffic congestion will be horrific. Local services eg secondary schools already over subscribed.
266	Green belt land must be protected. There are sufficient sites that meet the need without impacting green belt.
267	As a house owner in the local area I walk through the fields daily. This is a vital part of my day to remain grounded and supports my mental and physical health. The area is built up and busy enough. The environment impact would be devastating. Climate change..?! What happens if we allow all our green space to be built on, flooding, over populated, low green space= poor mental and physical well being and less wildlife diversity. What can we do to reduce the risk of these issues, no more building on green space. The town centre is empty, shops closing, why not use these spaces for housing?!?
268	Local schools are over subscribed already, doctors surgeries are overwhelmed, local traffic is already terrible.
269	Impact of Mental Health on local residents
270	The traffic would be horrendous. No extra Dr surgery? No secondary school? A small hospital in Warwick which already struggles to cope.
271	How can this be considered as grey land it's clearly green belt.
272	Insufficient local hospitals; would lead to more congestion and danger on roads
273	This massive development will devastate our local infrastructure and environment. Erasing the green belt destroys irreplaceable natural space, while adding thousands of new residents will push our already stretched schools and healthcare services past the breaking point. Furthermore, dumping thousands of extra daily car trips onto our inadequate road network will guarantee total traffic chaos. We cannot support a housing estate that sacrifices our community's quality of life without a realistic plan to upgrade our vital public services first.
274	There is already big traffic bottlenecks at the top of rugby road but main thing is green space and walking in those fields and around the school
275	I am not supportive of the proposed housing developments on the green belt near Cubbington. While I understand the need for new housing, I am concerned that building on protected green belt land will permanently damage local green space, reduce biodiversity, and negatively affect the character of the area. I am also worried about the increased pressure on already stretched local services such as schools, healthcare, and roads. Traffic congestion is likely to worsen significantly, making daily travel more difficult and impacting air quality and overall quality of life for existing residents. In addition, we are already experiencing major disruption and environmental damage from the HS2 project, which is already impacting local land and communities. Adding further large-scale development on top of this feels excessive and unfair to residents. I believe that development should instead be focused on brownfield sites or underused urban areas, rather than sacrificing valuable green belt land that serves an important environmental and community purpose. This is a beautiful area to walk and exercise in. it shouldn't be destroyed for the profits of major corporations.
276	constant house building around Leamington Spa over the last 15 years has made traffic and hospital provision untenable, Any new development locally should specially include a hospital - currently children living in lillington need to travel to birmingham children's hospital for monitoring even minor heart conditions, as this is not provided at Warwick Hospital (which is dangerously oversubscribed). We also cannot get children's blood tests done at the GP surgery (valley road), so a new hospital locally would be useful for many reasons. Also, cycling should be safe and a key part of any new development so that homeowners don't need cars. The bus service into town from lillington is so expensive and unreliable that for a family it is better/cheaper to get Ubers.

277	This would make the congestion and crowding at the shops unbearable. Much better to make a whole new village than tack on to one that is already stuck to a few others. No need to have sprawling suburbs.
278	This is already a very busy and congested place to live, the schools are already over subscribed, we need to keep the green belt space for a healthier lifestyle and help the community meet their well beings needs but also for the environment that is already being affected by HS2. People choose to live in this suburban area because of the small town life and being close to nature. Building over 3,000 homes is potentially increasing the population of this area by 10-15,000 people! How is this going to affect the local services and facilities? This would make my family want to move away to be honest.
279	None
280	I am a resident of Gibbet Hill near Stoneleigh. I am concerned not only for the blatant disregard of yet more green belt for 'pop up' housing but for the apparent reclassifying of green belt to grey belt to enable this to happen. Green belt status was introduced for a reason and this government and developers nationally have no business ripping up the rule book to achieve their aims. This once green and pleasant land is becoming blighted by relatively cheap, ugly, mass produced houses. My house was built 100 years ago on land which once formed part of the Stoneleigh Abbey estate. All the houses here were each built with their own individual design and character and many trees were kept with the gardens designed to not only accommodate but encourage nature to thrive. Not sure how many trees we still have. The house came with 30 trees when we moved in 40 years ago. Six trees we were ruled to cut down after roots started coming up through next door's garage. One cherry blossom died. But still enough so that every species that was here before us still has a home. If you look at my road on Google Maps you see how 99 per cent of the gardens here are individually designed and mostly a mix of lush green lawns and lovely trees. Apart from one hideous house near Kenilworth Road which seems to have replaced its garden with seemingly some sort of artificial lawn, tarmac and no greenery. It is hideous, not at all inviting for bees and other vital species and sadly seems to form the blueprint of hundreds of thousands of homes being built today. Take a look at it on Google Maps yourselves and answer the question honestly. Is it attractive? Inviting? A good design to aspire to for the thousands of (hideous) homes being built at an alarming rate each and every year? But this is what Britain is slowly being populated (ruined) with. Cats are often blamed for killing garden birds but developers are building over how many hundreds of thousands / maybe even millions of earth worms and other species at the bottom of the food chain every year in a move which cannot be undone. Once green space is gone it - and all that lives beneath it - is gone forever. The loss of earth worms etc and maybe even the loss of millions of birds - so far - may seem insignificant to developers and decision makers now but this over time could have catastrophic effects on other species. People / charities are already making comments now how they rarely / never see sparrows etc any more compared to years ago. If birds disappear or even become rare which species is next? Humans have no god given right to bury a part of our ecosystem just for the sake of building and keeping people in jobs. It's about time planners took environmental concerns seriously. It may not be important in their minds but their grandchildren, great grandchildren etc will most certainly disagree!
281	We are already loosing green space and habitats to HS2, how can you even consider causing more destruction by building more house and taking the green spaces? The pressure on our roads is already huge and adding more houses will not help. And what schools are the children going to attend?
282	I am a Lillington resident and I'm opposed to the plans. There are insufficient facilities in this area to cope with new builds such as road infrastructure and supermarkets there are only local stores this side of Leamington town centre which is fine for current residents
283	Loss of wildlife habitat and green spaces. Construction work noise and traffic impacting nature, local houses and school, road closures in a district so affected by HS2 disruption already.
284	Given lower Cubbington has a history of flooding, adding a large new development with all the additional surface run off will further exacerbate this issue. Local schools both Primary and Secondary have huge issues with over subscriptions. NLS had 700 applicants for 240 places! How is adding another 1200 houses without further educational facilities in any way part of joined up local authority plan? There are other brown field sites in and around Leamington which would be more beneficial to all than building on productive farmland.
285	I am responding fad a resident of Lillington
286	The plans provided appear to be a concept rather than a serious proposal and too small to identify landmarks. There appears to be a conflict between the map showing the link road and the text. 1 The map suggests that the link road would join Rugby Rd/Cubbington Rd opposite Clare Close. There is a gap in the housing at this point due to the recent destruction of a house that has been abandoned for many years and has been an eye-saw. This seems to have been destroyed only when local authorities were able to charge council charges on second homes so it appears to have been left empty in order to take advantage of any future development plans. The owner should be investigated to ensure that there is no conflict of interest between public duty and personal gain. 2 The text suggests there might be an entry into Parklands but does not specify exactly where this would be. The junction of Parklands Avenue with the B4453 is used by children and parents who attend both lower schools in Telford Avenue and those travelling from the Roman Catholic lower school at the main junction in Cubbington. There are already two sets of pedestrian lights along this stretch of the B4453 and such proposals would bring heavy lorries during construction, and much more traffic to the junction after the homes were occupied. The result of either suggestion would slow the flow of traffic thus increasing air pollution and in an already busy area. The Government's decision to provide extra homes should be examined more closely. The birth rate is lower than ever and our willingness to always build more homes as a political carrot needs careful review. Immigration cannot be the sole cause so we need to look at other reasons. Successive governments have downgraded marriage and made what was a life commitment into an option that can be ended with divorce which is now the easiest option. Many houses are now occupied by one parent where a house is suitable for a whole family. If the government encouraged marriage, statistics suggest, we would have long term improvements in family life with spin-offs in a reduction in crime and in maladjusted children. Less, but larger, houses would be needed rather than building down to the cheapest standard.

287	It is the only field between Lillington and Cubbington separating the two parishes and should remain an open green belt area for both sets of locals to continue using. It is designated as green belt for that reason and should not be downgraded. The local services are already over stretched without more people moving into the area and traffic on the B4453 on the Rugby/Cubbington Road is already more than heavy enough for this through road.
288	Do we need this alongside the already craziness caused by HS2 ...further disruption. Overwhelmed infrastructure?
289	Current road infrastructure completely insufficient. Loss of amenities.
290	I am concerned about the increased amount of traffic I am concerned about the impact it will have on emergency services as hospitals are already struggling. I am concerned about the environmental impact as there is lots of wildlife that live in the fields and this would destroy their habitat. I am also concerned about the loss of green space as I walk my dog around the fields. We have already lost a lot of space to walk due to the ongoing HS2. I am concerned that we will lose our village status which could cause our house values to decrease.
291	Impact on crime rates Loss of green spaces Noise levels increasing Impact on elderly residents Impact on environment and local community Demand on already stretched local resources like schools, GP resources, traffic, etc Already impacted by HS2 so this will have a further negative impact
292	Loss of local riding establishment, not good access, roads already congested, not enough capacity at gp/dentists/hospitals already, where are the jobs coming from???? It's a disaster
293	I live in Warwick but spend a great deal of time in Lillington, working at Spa View livery yard. Lillington and Cubbington have green spaces which are hugely valued by the community and should be protected.
294	I have a concern about access for HGV Lorry's, the nearby Severn Trent water works specifically regarding housing on Red House Farm
295	Lillington resident
296	The reality is that with the additional measures being proposed by Leamington Council, the extra traffic from these developments will add volume to a decreased capacity crossing the river Leam. To get to any supermarket of size you have to cross the river. The area proposed for development is also a rare area of multiple footpaths in countryside near housing where people can walk and exercise. Filling it with housing will remove that precious resource for the others.
297	Loose our green space and cubbington is a village and should stay so. It would put too much strain on existing services and daughter would loose her view across the fields
298	We live in cheviot rise lillington for 30 years and enjoyed the peace and quiet in the area and love the views across the fields to cubbington it would be devastating if this was lost.
299	The impact on hospitals and care facilities is already struggling. This extra housing is not needed in this area.
300	There are not enough amenities or roads to allow for that number of houses, if we think today most houses have 2 cars that is a lot of extra traffic on the roads. There are also not enough school places. All the big shops are to the south of the town with only one main way to get there from the north which is already heavily congested.
301	The only senior school is already over subscribed so where will children from Cubbington generally and then all these new families go? This is on top of the SG06 development right next to the school. Is it a coincidence that a house has recently been knocked down on Cubbington Road just where the proposed road will come out!! The junction on Rugby Road/Windmill Hill is bad now so how will it cope with more traffic? We have no major stores in north Leamington so what is being done to address this and stop driving to already over congested sites?
302	I live in Lillington. I must say that having a handful more houses may be fine, however, the number of houses proposed are too many. Considering this quadruples with the number of people within these households. The environment people enjoy will suddenly become overwhelming unbearable for people to live in. Learning the outcome from other areas who did the same in the past is crucial. There is so much to frown upon.
303	Risk of flooding in Cubbington from building on elevated land near Rugby road down to Offchurch lane. Field, currently left with deep rooted grass, reduces water logged farm land and flood risk. This field also valuable exercise area for dog walks and joggers / walkers access to Newbold Common.
304	We are 268 Cubbington Road, 3 houses from the Cubbington border, but I do very much like Cubbington as a wholesome Warwickshire village and use many of it's facilities. Traffic is always busy on the Cubbington road and you have to wait to turn out of the service road now so that will be worse. We do not have a major supermarket in this area to service the new houses. There is a significant drop in the birth rate nationally so new houses probably will be mainly for migrants not locals? Those fields have always grown crops for at least the last 40 years I know so presumably and misguidedly we import to replace that produce? It is well tended green belt land and many people walk around there for leisure. What about the ancient badger sett in the middle of the big field. We totally disagree with the plan and consider it flawed.
305	This green belt space is so important from a wildlife and greenery point of view. Also as a local dog walking spot. The roads near the site are already congested, particularly at rush hour, so more houses will be a disaster. Things in the nearby area have already been disrupted with the hs2 works - we don't need any more building work eating into our beautiful green belt space.

306	Green Belt and Environment We do not need to build on the green belt land. There are other brownfield sites available. The flooding risk in this area must be taken into consideration. It is not proven that the number of dwellings proposed are either necessary, suitable or affordable. Where are people going to find work? Employment opportunities in North Leamington are very limited and expansion of business parks will threaten even more Green Belt land. We must endeavour to conserve the spacing between the settlements to preserve our environment. Roads in the immediate locality our roads are unsuitable, we are a rural community surrounded by B roads . The local A roads - A46, A45 already lack capacity for travel further afield. The required improvements to infrastructure should be actioned before any permissions for more houses are approved. Quality of life Consider what the increased human population will need. We are already at capacity. Additional Health services, education, work opportunities, access to shops, supermarkets, sports and leisure facilities etc. We need a period of respite. For the past eleven years we have been forced to accommodate the consequences of being adjacent to HS2 and suffer extensive inconvenience on the roads. Even without further housing developments, this disruption can be expected to continue for another 10 years before the effects of having high speed trains running a short distance away from our existing homes can be felt.
307	There is hardly any industry (bar Thwaites) or shopping centre (only a few local shops) in Cubbington. There are also no cycle tracks and bus routes are limited and unreliable. This means at present everyone gets in a car to go anywhere whether for work or leisure. The roads are full now. An extra 4000 vehicles minimum!) would bring the roads to a standstill and pollution would increase massively. The local structure cannot cope with the population we have at present let alone an extra 400 homes.
308	Schools are already 3x over subscribed and local traffic is backed up from round about to roundabout at rush hour. Local roads and services can't support a development of this size. Many better suited locations that don't take greenbelt or high quality agricultural land.
309	Traffic is bad enough already Services are already over stretched Loss of green land
310	Traffic and congestion is already a concern - you wont improve the road network but keep throwing up more houses!!! Improve the bloody roads and traffic issues first!!!!!!
311	If this goes ahead the homesteads of Cubbington & Lillington (I'm right on the border of the 2) will become 1 large homestead. Cubbington in particular will lose its village status. We're already putting up with HS2 disruption with wildlife being displaced, our country no longer is going to be a " green and pleasant land" that past generations have fought for. I relocated from London in 1991 to live in an area where you could actually see large areas of sky, lots of greenery, less pollution and a more gentle pace of life.....I have a foreboding feeling that this is going to change. I do realise that more property is needed but so is the all important green belt, the lungs of an area.
312	The local infrastructure will not support this volume of additional households (it is not fit for purpose as it stands). There are well known flooding and drainage issues in SG05. The land houses foxes, badgers, deer, birds and a whole diverse ecological system. The quality of the agricultural land should already preclude it from consideration for development and it has long been designated as green belt (this should stand and not be disregarded). The impact to property prices and the enjoyment of such a beautiful open green space will be immense and hugely detrimental to the quality of life enjoyed by all in the area. South Warwickshire's housing need can be met without building on green belt land. The Local Plan needs to make provision for 30,000 additional new dwellings in South Warwickshire to meet government targets The Local Plan's own Sustainability Appraisal shows that: Strategic Growth Locations which are NOT in the green belt have capacity for 48,500 dwellings New Settlements which are NOT in the green belt have capacity for a further 6,000 dwellings. This means it is possible to meet housing need without building on green belt land. The Green Belt around North Leamington continues to fulfil the stated purposes of Green Belt land. The five purposes of Green Belt land are to: 1. check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas 2. prevent neighbouring towns merging into one another 3. assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment 4. preserve the setting and special character of historic towns 5. assist in urban regeneration, by encouraging the recycling of derelict and other urban land. Once land is removed from the Green Belt for development this cannot be undone and a precedent is set which makes it easier for adjoining swathes of land to be built on. Therefore, were development to go ahead, the amount of green belt land ultimately lost could be far greater than just the 360 acres of SG06 and 205 acres of SG05. If land on the northern edges of Leamington, Warwick and Stratford-upon-Avon was not intended to prevent their outward 'sprawl' it would not have been designated as Green Belt. Their growth was to be accommodated to the south and constrained by Green Belt to the north. National policy remains clear that where significant development of agricultural land is demonstrated to be necessary, areas of poorer quality should be preferred to those of a higher quality (NPPF, 2024). The highest concentration of ALC Grade 2 land around Leamington Spa and Warwick is to the north and east of Leamington Spa. The land making up these sites is, therefore, considered to be a scarce resource of high value for sustainable food production. This (SG05) development needs to be strongly rejected for a host of very obvious reasons and is vehemently opposed by myself and my household along with the dozens of neighbours and local residents we have canvassed in recent months in order to discuss our shared concerns.
313	I am in Lillington and do not support this one Too many houses going up around the area (for who)? To expensive for first time buyers. To much traffic and and congestion already. Warwick hospital will not cope much longer. New hospital desperately needed.
314	Three of the field's outlined have a regular flood risk due to the low points
315	The lack of supporting infrastructure, not just roads, schools and shops, but sewage, water, internet and so on will be significant. To update the infrastructure to accommodate these additional houses, would rip up the majority of western Cubbington
316	I think it's disgraceful!!! Sort out other things before building on our beautiful green space!! Before you know it there won't be any green space left. No! It's not right.
317	Traffic at peak times is already very concerning and frustrating. Has the green space been thoroughly assessed?
318	I live in lillington, the proposed plans will impact greatly on lillington as well as cubbington, our green spaces are being squeezed, to provide housing which will bring even more people into the area. We do not have the services to support this. There are not enough people on our local waiting lists to justify these plans. I believe these plans to be detrimental to current residents.

319	Lillington, on boundary with Cubbington. It is not clear how a wild life corridor will be maintained, how flood water will be managed, and what will happen to the large badger setts. Local shops and buses are already inadequate and new facilities must be built into any planning permissions.
320	My concern is based on the population size, the infrastructure and the increased traffic that will inevitably arise from further housing developments. In addition, the north Leamington GP Surgeries are all working well over the agreed patient capacity. This is already the situation they are in. This impacts not only their health, but the health of the community they care for. All new people to the area will require to be registered at a surgery. Leamington has very few dental surgeries for its current population. All new people to the area will require a dental surgery. Warwick Hospital covers all people in South Warwickshire and currently only consists of 379 in patient beds. How is it possibly going to accommodate the increase in the population, who will inevitably have to use the hospital? There are only 4 primary schools in Cubbington and Lillington. More houses means more people, which means more children. North Leamington school cannot accommodate extra pupils without expanding its current premises. These are my main concerns locally. I think that the creation of new towns in England would be a better option. It appears that house building, for which the housing developers appear to have too much power, make the rules and the government, who are so hell bent on targets, overlook the reality. House building currently appears to be just added onto already established towns and villages, thus increasing the pressure onto an area. Starting afresh with a new town would surely be a better option.
321	Lack of access to facilities in this area eg supermarkets so even more people travelling along limited roadways....even with proposed additional roadways..same work wise....employers are in town other side of Leamington or travel to Coventry etc via roads already congested.. No secondary schools proposed. And whatever the assessment this is greenbelt....and designated that for a reason!
322	Saturation of the local community, already stretched local schools and public services.
323	I'm also concerned on the material used for building these homes as recent heatwaves demonstrates that our homes aren't built for it. We need materials that can cope with our rising temperatures, and AC is not the answer
324	I live in the vicinity of Clare Close, Lillington, where I reside in close proximity to the proposed roundabout. The area surrounding Clare Close is already constrained in terms of available space. Introducing a significant housing development in such a limited area is likely to place further pressure on the existing road network and local infrastructure, adversely affecting residents' quality of life. I am also deeply concerned about the loss of valuable green space. This area has long served as an important recreational space for local families and children. Having grown up in the area myself, I have firsthand experience of the benefits these open spaces provide for physical activity, wellbeing, and community cohesion. Removing them would deprive future generations of the same opportunities. In addition, the green spaces surrounding Clare Close provide an increasingly important habitat for local wildlife. As development has gradually reduced natural habitats in the surrounding area, residents have begun to see more wildlife venturing into residential areas during daylight hours in search of food and shelter. This is a clear indication that their natural habitat is already under pressure. Further development would reduce these remaining green spaces even more, placing additional strain on local biodiversity and displacing wildlife that has already been forced to adapt to shrinking habitats. As a parent of a young child, I am particularly concerned about the environmental and health impacts associated with the proposed development. Increased traffic is likely to result in higher levels of air pollution, with potential long-term consequences for the health of local residents, especially children. In addition, the extended construction period would inevitably bring significant noise, dust, and disruption to the surrounding neighbourhood. For these reasons, I respectfully urge the planning authority to reject the proposed housing development and to prioritise the preservation of local green space, the protection of wildlife and biodiversity, the wellbeing of residents, and the long-term sustainability of the existing community.
325	We are just inside Lillington border but really close to proposed junction with Cubbington Road and use many facilities in side Cubbington. Lots of concerns as above but would highlight traffic which is already busy on Cubbington Road. Lack of enough local shops. Already difficult to travel to south town for supermarkets, these houses will make it worse. What are you doing about extra traffic impact on this? Village pubs / clubs will be overwhelmed, no provision for more. Cubbington village will be attached to Leamington conurbation. What is % of Social Housing? Is it 50% because it's now a grey belt? If so that's too much as concerned about anti-social behaviour, lack of pride in keeping house and gardens tidy. I've read 2 different reports for a link road onto Cubbington Road or from Oakridge Road, which is correct? If it's Cubbington Road how will it be achieved in detail? Cubbington Road is regularly dug up, usually by Severn Trent, how will the extra traffic cope with this? If our house price is affected will we get compensation? Here's a novel idea. Rather than building more and more houses with all the attendant problems, why not stop all the immigration, especially illegals?
326	This will be hell. It will be so disruptive to my mental health and my wife and children
327	Traffic will be a massive problem, not enough schooling, people struggling to get into North Leamington secondary school as it is. Not enough local shops to support.
328	I support the need for new housing, but I believe development should only proceed if the necessary infrastructure is delivered alongside it. Local GP surgeries, schools and other public services are already under pressure and should be expanded before or at the same time as new homes are built. I am also concerned about the permanent loss of green space and the impact on local wildlife and biodiversity.
329	Great loss of green land. Main reason for house purchase was the green space view.
330	Loss of green space
331	Lillington, Will we still be able to get to the fields, even though they'll be a little further away via a pathway of some sort.
332	Mental health of local residents, particularly as they've been subjected to the decimation of Cubbington wood and surrounding area thanks to HS2 over the last 15 years
333	Lillington (parklands avenue), houses and development will back onto several of the house along the field and the access roads Lillington and cubbington side will cause major issues with traffic due to the ongoing road closures of HS2 and work being done on the cubbington road

334	I am responding as a resident of Cubbington. These proposals are irresponsible and there is no evidence of housing need to support this change of Greenbelt status to make way for housing. I reject the notion that homes can't be built elsewhere and which will not impact existing infrastructure and amenities. The loss of natural green spaces will be detrimental to ecology and increase surface water run off and flooding. The roads and schools cannot support this. Why are you ruining people's quality of life and village feeling for 1200 new homes, none of which will be affordable housing and all of which will be an ugly eyesore on the environment. Go and build on a brownfield site in Nuneaton.
335	There is no need for anymore housing in the area. There is insufficient infrastructure in the area to cope.
336	Cubbington already has huge issues with traffic due to ongoing HS2 closures and use of roads by works traffic. More traffic is a danger to pedestrians.
337	I strongly object to the proposed SG05 development. SG05 would introduce approximately 1,361 new homes on Green Belt land between Lillington and Cubbington, fundamentally changing the character of this area. The open countryside currently provides an important buffer between settlements and contributes significantly to the rural identity of Cubbington. Although the report proposes green buffers and landscaping, these cannot replace the permanent loss of open Green Belt or prevent the gradual urbanisation of the area. Building around 1,361 homes on Green Belt land between Lillington and Cubbington would permanently damage the countryside, weaken Cubbington's separate village identity and create further urban sprawl. The proposed access onto Rugby Road, Buckley Road and Offchurch Road/Windmill Hill would add major pressure to roads that are already congested, while the plan does not convincingly show that local healthcare, schools, drainage and other services can cope. Masterplan Report SG05 and SG06 Final Report.pdf Green buffers and landscaping are not a substitute for protecting open countryside. SG05 should be rejected, and brownfield sites should be prioritised instead.
338	I am a Parish Councillor for Offchurch and am very concerned about the traffic impact arising from this proposed development. The proposed road through the development onto Offchurch Road will encourage a significant increase in the number of vehicles travelling along Welsh Road through Offchurch. There is a very narrow bridge at the Offchurch boundary and the road is then very narrow up to the Welsh Road/Long Itchington Road junction. Also, Welsh Road has a high risk of flooding during wet weather. Overall, Welsh Road is already very unsuitable for the high volume of traffic it carries and this will only become worse with the inevitable increase in traffic generated by the possible new housing.
339	The plans are not sound. Impact on local health and schools would add further pressure to already challenged services. In addition we are already beholden to an increased volume of traffic and infrastructures that don't support. The HS2 traffic trucks over the years have added to consistent reverberations, noise and impact on quality of road surfaces. We are already a very busy area and adding 2500 new homes on beautiful countryside is yet another blow for a community. Hugely concerned but no doubt profiteering will prevail as per the norm.
340	I am concerned about both SG05 and SG06 as both are the lungs and well being areas for both Cubbington and Lillington. They are used extensively for recreation and well being if the community. During the pandemic the paths through these areas were like motorways as people sought mental support within green areas. These areas are used by dog walkers, cyclists, walkers, foragers, birdwatchers. The most important thing is that they are within walking distance of the community...no need to use transport so totally sustainable. They also provide vital habitats for wildlife as they provide vital range for birds of prey such as buzzards, owls, red kites, green woodpeckers. Also ancient bluebell wood in area and breeding badgers. Vets cooling vegetation to offset high temps as climate warms.
341	It will change the feel of the village, it will lead to more road congestion, loss of green spaces and impact to the local community. There is already a struggle to get a space at North Leamington school. Keep our village a village.
342	Lack of green space may lead to more flooding in the area & no space for exercise
343	Some of the children of Cubbington already don't get into our only catchment school, where are they supposed to go when these houses take up more places?
344	Not enough schools healthcare facilities to support housing too much congestion
345	I oppose the proposed new housing development in Cubbington because of its significant impact on the local countryside and natural environment. The loss of green space and wildlife habitat would permanently change the character of the village. In addition, Cubbington's infrastructure and local services, including the school, shop, roads, and healthcare provision, are already limited and would come under increased pressure from further development. Any future housing should be supported by appropriate infrastructure and should protect the unique rural character of the village ie be built on a much smaller scale
346	I am deeply concerned about the loss of green space to those of us who live in the council estate and rely on the nearby fields to escape life for a bit and enjoy local nature. I am concerned that current local schools and health centres will not be able to accommodate an influx of people, nor will the roads be big enough to cope with congestion.
347	Loss of green space nearby - important for mental health, environment, wildlife corridors. We already have impact of HS2 on local environment and community. Greenbelt does not need to be built on and more 'brown' sites need to be considered.
348	I live in Lillington. The view from the current edge of the urban area down to Welshes Road should be preserved as an important visual amenity. From here your elevated position all along this side if the housing estate has beautiful, clear and uninterrupted views across green rolling hills. This is connected almost seamlessly to the Common and keeping this open connection is a vital and key feature of our heritage landscape.

349	Lillington resident - I think the local roads and services (esp secondary schooling) cannot handle this level of redevelopment. also this green space is precious. In this time of heatwaves we need open cool spaces to bring breeze and keep natural resources such as trees. This is a popular walking spot for the local community. Urban overspill does not bring community cohesion. I also am seriously concerned about the reality of affordable housing - I know some is planned but this still will not make a significant enough different; meanwhile housing developers just get richer and richer, build tokenistic community services such as mini playgrounds and do not put long term care and attention into community services/ cohesion/ education. Too often these housing developments are too big and swamp the local community they are pinned onto. A more sympathetic smaller development would be much more appropriate and kinder.
350	This shouldn't continue until ALL the enormous number of new build homes in south leamington are filled. There is no need for this excessive amount of expensive homes until the other excessive amount of money expensive homes are all habited.
351	A popular footpath will be lost enabling us to walk to Newbold Comyn
352	This will cause us losing the green belt! It impact on wild life and also impact on our traffic!
353	We have had constant road closures with HS2. These proposals would remove a major buffer between housing and the HS2 route. We need more green space, not less, to dampen the noise and impact from HS2. In addition, the council keeps toying with the idea of removing the ability to walk dogs off lead in local parks. These green spaces have footpaths where you can walk dogs off lead so they get sufficient exercise. If you walk down the hill behind one of these proposed sites, it regularly floods with run off from the fields, so I suspect this would only get worse with the proposed building meaning the loss of agricultural land would be wider than just that built on. Cubbington lost ancient woodland for the HS2 route. We shouldn't lose more green space when there are 1000s of houses being built the other side of town that are yet to be sold and congestion to get to the shops is awful. It has taken an hour to get out of the shires retail park carpark over past weekends. Leamington is really losing it's identity as the regency character of the town is diluted through sprawling new build estates. There are numerous stories of developers being unable to sell houses they have built in this country so why not wait until they are sold and find suitable brownfield sites to build on? Furthermore you hear continuous stories of developers committing to building affordable housing only to make excuses and back out later. I have no faith that developers will do what they say they will do. I implore the council to reject these plans and protect the greenbelt.
354	The roads around rush hour are already terrible. There are species of wildlife which are already protected on this land
355	Cubbington is a historic village separate to leamington spa and lillington. The proposal to include this area of land in development plan would mean cubbington joins leamington spa. This has not been identified as an issue in any of the reports published. Linked to all of issues tagged above the existing road infrastructure doesn't support development south of the rugby road. It is unlikely it can ever be improved sufficiently within the existing built constraints to allow housing to be economically feasible here. It is a waste of time to include it.
356	We live in Lillington and are concerned that traffic is going to come down Oakridge Road which isn't really wide enough to come through for the volume of houses proposed. It is a green field site for a reason and should stay that way. How the hell are all the children going to be educated in the current schools. Another good reason to send children to private school.
357	Rugby Road is busier now than it has ever been in the mornings. The school run has become really frustrating and it takes twice as long to cross the road as there is so much traffic.
358	The proposed development at Blackdown is across a huge area of farmland and Greenbelt. We need our farming areas for food production especially in these uncertain times. We also need Greenbelt areas to maintain diversity and eco systems. This development would be adjacent to a very busy main road which runs in and out of Leamington. The additional traffic and congestion it would cause would be unbearable. The schools in this locality are already over subscribed and there are no health facilities outside of the main centre of Leamington. Plus Warwick Hospital would be stretched beyond its existing capacity. I am totally opposed to this proposed development. It's too big, it would ruin valuable agricultural and Greenbelt land and add a strain on an already stretched schools and community services!
359	No new roads going to be built so traffic is already busy at peak times since the Tesco's store went in on the top of crown way when on nights I now have to leave 10 mins earlier
360	Plenty of other available sites to place these house that are not on green belt. Once the green belt is gone it won't be back. Future generations will soon not know what it means to have fields and natural areas on the doorstep.
361	There will be a huge pressure on local infrastructure (roads, electrical capacity, road areas which already flood) and nothing will be done to support these additional houses.
362	Building on green belt is completely unnecessary and unacceptable. Regrading this as grey belt is outrageous! We need to protect our farmland for food security and the endless benefits green space provides. The south of Leamington has been extensively built on over the last 10 years, how many more houses are really required rather than lining housing developers pockets.